

Consultation dates: 11/09/2018 to 05/10/2018

Document produced by (project manager): Edward Bown

Document checked and authorised by (programme manager): Jeremy Wiggin

Seventeen consultation responses were received for the consultation for pedestrian and cyclist improvements along Riverside Road, Gorleston. Four of these consultations were from Network Rail, Natural England, Historic England and the Environment Agency. Their feedback is outlined below.

Network Rail

Network Rail does not have any land that would be affected by this proposal therefore they do not have any comments.

Natural England

Riverside Road is in close proximity to the Outer Thames Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA) which is afforded protection under the conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. Natural England have provided Norfolk County Council with advice to take on board during the construction phase of this scheme. We will be taking on board all of their recommendations.

Historic England

Historic England do not have any comments to make on these proposals.

Environment Agency

The Environment Agency has confirmed that some permits may be required as we are working in close proximity to flood defences. A permit is not required for the footway works however may be required for any drainage. This does not pose any problems for the scheme being delivered.

Comments from members of the public and local organisations are summarised in the table below.

Objection / Comment	Frequency	Designer response
Supportive – openly supportive of the scheme	8	No response.
Against – openly opposed to the scheme	2	The main concerns from one response is regarding traffic flow, increasing the difficulty of vehicles passing each other by narrowing the carriageway and motorists parking on double yellow lines. The main concern from the other response is regarding reducing the available space to park on the carriageway which may mean people have to park



Scheme (code and name): PFA010 River	rside Road	Tor Great farmouth
		in the GYBC cobbled car park. Responses have been provided for all of these concerns.
Drainage – standing water has been observed on the carriageway and around various properties where no current footway exists (between chainage 93 and chainage 320). Resolve this drainage issue if possible and ensure this scheme doesn't make this issue worse for residents and road users.	3	Norfolk County Council (NCC) are aware of issues with drainage at this location. A topographical survey to record levels across the carriageway has been carried at this location and we are currently identifying what drainage options could be delivered as part of scheme delivery.
Advisory Cycle Lane - please mark an advisory on carriageway cycle lane in both directions considering the use of armadilos.	1	There is insufficient carriageway width for this, collision rates are very low along Riverside Road and installing armadilos or advisory cycle lanes would be seen as a disadvantage.
On carriageway cycle markings – install TSRGD 1057 markings on the carriageway highlighting the presence of cyclists on this National Cycle Network route 517.	2	We are considering the installation of these markings on the carriageway, subject to further discussion with colleagues responsible for the maintenance of such markings.
Wayfinding - please ensure that junctions at either end of Riverside Road are properly signed for cyclists and blue way-finders are included as repeaters along the route in both directions.	1	Cycle wayfinding is being considered as part of a separate project in GY.
Install additional double yellow lines (DYL) — Install additional DYLs between the RNLI parking triangle and the Pier Walk/Quay Road/Riverside Road junction to improve traffic flow along this length of highway.	2	We will not be installing additional Double Yellow Lines (DYL) in this location as part of this current scheme. Traffic flow is not a concern at this location to warrant any further extension of DYL at this time.
Double yellow lines won't be effective opposite the RNLI parking triangle as blue badge holders will park here.	1	Although blue badge holders are permitted to park for up to three hours on double yellow lines, they must not park where it would endanger, inconvenience or obstruct pedestrians or other road users. There is sufficient space for blue badge holders to park in the area legally and free of charge.
Retaining double yellow lines - will the double yellow lines remain on the road at the junction of Riverside Road and Quay Road/Pier Walk	1	These DYLs will remain in place.



Scheme (code and name): PFA010 Rive	rside Road	
Double yellow lines – can these be	1	People should not park opposite or
provided opposite Baker Street and		within 10m of a junction unless in an
Dock Tavern Lane to aid HGV		authorised parking space. Installing
movements?		double yellow lines here to reduce
		issues for HGVs would be beneficial.
		There is ample unrestricted parking
		along Riverside Road.
Concerns regarding the installation	1	We have proposed to install 20m of
of double yellow lines (DYL)		DYLs opposite the RNLI parking
opposite the RNLI parking triangle		triangle because visibility to the north
		and south is good at this location The
		20m length of DYLs will allow for two
		LGVs to pass each other and two
		HGVs to pass each other with care.
		The proposed footway will have a
		flush or very low upstand kerb
		therefore if required when safe,
		vehicles can overrun the proposed
		footway to pass each other.
Large Council Car Park is not secure	1	These concerns have been passed to
	_	the Borough Council.
Lifeboat Crew Parking – can this	1	This request has been passed to the
area be restricted to lifeboat crew	_	Borough Council.
parking only		
Traffic flows have increased in	1	Traffic flow is not a concern at this
recent years.		location.
Carriageway narrowing will worsen	1	This scheme provides a section of
the existing issue of vehicles		double yellow lines opposite the RNLI
struggling to pass each other by the		parking triangle to provide a passing
lifeboat station and parking triangle.		place for vehicles.
Speed – excessive vehicle speeds	1	Excessive vehicle speeds have not
along the section of Riverside Road		been raised as a persistent concern in
near the RNLI triangle could create		this area. We expect vehicle speeds
more issues once the carriageway		to be reduced as a result of narrowing
has been narrowed. Could speed		the carriageway. It is not our
humps be installed?		intention to install speed humps at
		the outset but will review this
		decision if vehicle speeds are
		identified as an issue once the
		scheme is complete.
Increase kerb upstands for blind	1	Unfortunately, this is not possible to
users to 100mm ideally and 25mm		achieve along the length of the
at vehicle entrance points.		scheme because this would either
		cause surface water to flow into
		private properties or create trip
		private properties or create trip hazards next to private properties.



Scheme (code and name): PFAO10 KIVE	iside Noad	
Tactile paving – install tactile paving	1	In one location this is not achievable
for uncontrolled crossings on		as it would reduce visibility at this
straight rather than radius kerbs		crossing point. However at the other
		two locations, we will install tactile
		paving on a straight rather than
		radius kerb.
Street furniture should be installed	1	Where possible we will install street
at the back of the footway		furniture at the back of the footway.
		However, this is not possible in some
		locations, for example north of South
		Icehouse Hill, where such works risk
		damaging a private wall.
Street Lighting - can you tell me if	1	These plans do not include replacing
the plans will include replacing the		any street lighting at the southern
old street lighting?		end however we are planning to
		replace some lighting columns at the
		northern end of the scheme (around
		the Riverside Road / South Icehouse
		Hill junction)
West Quay – why hasn't a footpath	2	This was considered during scheme
on West Quay been considered		design but was rejected on the basis
		that there would be a requirement
		for pedestrians to cross Riverside
		Road at two locations, this would lead
		to additional parking loss, there is no
		direct DDA access between the new
		Path and Dock Tavern Lane or Baker
		Street, risk of crime (reduced visibility
		between the walls and this may not
		be fully utilised as it isn't the most
		direct north/south route.